

# UCCSN Board of Regents' Meeting Minutes October 19-20, 1989

10-19-1989

Pages 74-104

BOARD OF REGENTS

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA SYSTEM

October 19-20, 1989

The Board of Regents met on the above date in Rooms 201-202,  
Donald Moyer Student Union, University of Nevada, Las Vegas.

Members present: Mrs. Dorothy S. Gallagher, Chairman

Dr. Jill Derby

Dr. James Eardley

Mr. Joseph M. Foley

Mr. Daniel J. Klaich

Mrs. Carolyn M. Sparks

Mrs. June F. Whitley

Members absent: Dr. Lonnie Hammargren

Mr. Sig Rogich

Others present: Chancellor Mark H. Dawson

President Anthony Calabro, WNCC

President John Gwaltney, TMCC

President Robert Maxson, UNLV

President Paul Meacham, CCCC

President Ronald Remington, NNCC

President James Taranik, DRI

Vice President Dennis Brown, UNR

Mr. Don Klasic, General Counsel

Dr. Warren Fox, Vice Chancellor

Mr. Ron Sparks, Vice Chancellor

Ms. Mary Lou Moser, Secretary

Also present were Faculty Senate Chairmen Deborah Ballard-Reisch (UNR), Paula Funkhouser (TMCC), Alan Gertler (DRI), Mike Hardie (WNCC), Tom Kendall (Unit), Nancy Master (UNLV), Michael McFarlane (NNCC) and Norma Suchy (CCCC) and Student Association Officers.

Chairman Dorothy Gallagher called the meeting to order at 9:40

A.M. Thursday, October 19, 1989.

1. Approved the Consent Agenda

Approved the Consent Agenda (identified as Ref. A, filed with the permanent minutes) containing the following:

(1) Approved the minutes of the regular meeting held September 7-8, 1989 and the special teleconference meeting held September 26, 1989.

(2) Approved the gifts, grants and contracts, listed in Ref. C-1, filed with the permanent minutes.

(3) Approved the following appointments to the UNLV Proposed Law School Advisory Group (all of Las Vegas except David Zenoff, who is from Carlsbad, Ca.):

- |                        |                   |
|------------------------|-------------------|
| Robert Buckalew        | Nancy Master      |
| Joe Bunin              | John F. Mendoza   |
| Robert Callister       | Donald M. Mosley  |
| Bill Curran            | James Pico        |
| Drake De Lanoy         | Phillip M. Pro    |
| Joseph M. Foley        | Frank A. Schreck  |
| Dominic Gentile        | Miriam Shearing   |
| Addleair Guy           | Carolyn M. Sparks |
| Harry Hinderliter, III | Larry Strate      |

William Jansen                      J. Charles Thompson

Jan Laverty Jones                Earle W. White, Jr.

Randall Jones                      David Zenoff

Jerry Mack

(4) Approved emeritus status to be granted to Mr. Dwight Marshall, Emeritus Dean of Continuing Education, UNLV, effective upon approval.

(5) Approved to waive the 1-year notification for early retirement for Lydia de Castro-Svetch, effective December 31, 1989, and to grant emeritus status effective January 1, 1990, with the title Emeritus Associate Professor of Nursing, UNR.

(6) Approved a 10% increase in salary compensation for Dean Bill Davies, WNCC College Services, for additional assigned administrative duties. These duties include coordination and development of College construction and improvement projects.

(7) Approved the following interlocal agreements:

A. UNS Board of Regents/UNR and Nevada Department of

Human Resources, Division of Mental Hygiene and  
Mental Retardation

Effective: Beginning UNR's Fall '89 semester and  
ending July 30, 1990

Amount : \$34,417 maximum to UNR

Purpose : UNR to provide clinical experience and  
training to social work students.

B. UNS Board of Regents/UNR and Nevada Department of  
Wildlife

Effective: July 1, 1989 to June 30, 1990

Amount : \$23,948 to UNR

Purpose : Black Bear in Western Nevada study.

C. UNS Board of Regents/UNR and Nevada Department of  
Wildlife

Effective: July 1, 1989 to June 30, 1990

Amount : \$3,144 to UNR

Purpose : Sage Grouse Production and Mortality  
Studies.

D. UNS Board of Regents/UNR and the Nevada Department  
of Education

Effective: Upon approval by Board through June 30,  
1990

Amount : \$47,000 maximum to UNR

Purpose : UNR to maintain and recruit local  
school/agency teams, develop training  
with families, disseminate plan and  
training agenda to committees and de-  
velop training module on procedures for  
school enrollment including barriers to  
and paper work necessary for enroll-  
ment, education and counseling.

E. UNS Board of Regents/University of Nevada School of  
Medicine and the Nevada Department of Human Re-  
sources, Division of Mental Hygiene and Mental  
Retardation

Effective: August 1, 1989 to June 30, 1991

Amount : \$20,000 maximum to School of Medicine

Purpose : School of Medicine to provide pediatric  
care for Southern Nevada Child and Ado-

lescent Mental Health Services.

F. UNS Board of Regents/UNR and Nevada Commission on  
Tourism

Effective: October 1, 1989 to October 1, 1990

Amount : \$15,000 maximum to UNR

Purpose : UNR to collect data in order to track  
trends in Nevada tourism.

Mr. Klaich moved adoption of the Consent Agenda and approval  
of the prepared agenda with the authority to change the or-  
der of items as specified throughout the meeting. Mrs.  
Sparks seconded. Motion carried.

2. Introductions

Dr. Alan Gertler, DRI Faculty Senate Chairman, introduced  
Steve Mizell, DRI Faculty Senate Vice Chairman.

Mrs. Whitley entered the meeting.

3. Chairman's Report

Chairman Gallagher reported on many positive events which have occurred throughout UNS.

She attended the Facility Master Planning meeting which was an excellent experience and the Committee's directions are commendable.

The Newsweek article naming UNLV as one of the outstanding Universities in the country which was very positive, not only for UNLV, but for UNS, and the State of Nevada.

Nevada higher education has experienced another year of increased enrollments. Throughout the System enrollment figures have indicated more high school valedictorians and more Nevada high school graduates are attending UNS institutions than in past years. One of the priorities throughout the System has been to educate Nevadans about UNS in order to attract students. She commended the entire System on its efforts in increasing enrollments.

The quality of new faculty being hired is very much improved. The Administration and faculty search committees are doing a great service to the System in hiring exceptional new faculty.



She announced the appointments to a newly established ad hoc Committee on Regent Awards. The Regents to serve on the Committee are: James Eardley as Chairman, Joseph Foley, Daniel Klaich and Carolyn Sparks. This Committee has been charged with reviewing the procedures and criteria for various Regent awards.

UNLV has obtained the Supercomputer. This endeavor is a special program for UNLV and the System. She commended all those who worked diligently in obtaining this program.

She requested feedback from the Regents on the new format of the Board of Regent meetings.

Chairman Gallagher informed the Board that the Budget and finance Committee is now meeting as a Committee of the Whole. It has come to her attention that fellow Regents are not well informed of the budget process. She has directed Vice Chancellor Ron Sparks to make presentations at future meetings on each of the steps in the budget process. She has also directed the Presidents to make presentations regarding their own Campus budgets. It is hoped these measures will lead to a better understanding of the budget proc-

ess.

Chairman Gallagher requested the Faculty Senate Chairmen to develop a list of faculty on each Campus who have distinguished themselves and report to the Board at each meeting.

It is Chairman Gallagher's thought that these people should be recognized by the Board of Regents for their endeavors.

#### 4. Chancellor's Report

Chancellor Dawson stated that the Board of Regents had requested information regarding Systemwide coverage for student health insurance. He reported that this issue has been assigned to a committee which will compare the two programs at the Universities to see if it would be feasible and indicated that approximately 15% of the student body enroll for student health insurance coverage. He will report back to the Board on the findings of the Committee. UNR Faculty Senate Chairman, Deborah Ballard-Reisch requested that the graduate students be represented in this study.

He reported that during the 1980 reapportionment UNS requested Dr. Eleanor Bushnell to prepare a study for the Board of Regents on the Regent districts. Since the 1990

reapportionment act is upon us, he has contacted Dr. Bushnell who has agreed to prepare another study for the Board of Regents. In the preliminary stages there are 133,000 residents per district, and if expanded that may change to 109,000 residents per district based upon current projections. Dr. Bushnell will present her report to the Board of Regents at its December meeting.

5. Approved Resolution

Approved a resolution in remembrance of Governor Charles Hinton Russell.

RESOLUTION #89-9

In remembrance of Charles Hinton Russell

1903-1989

The Board of Regents of the University of Nevada System wishes to pay its respects to the late Charles Hinton Russell in this remembrance of his contributions to education, to Nevada, to his country and to the world he worked to make better during his 85 years.

Born in Lovelock, Russell spent his childhood on a ranch in Deeth, graduating from Elko County High School in 1922 and the University of Nevada in 1926. Following a year of teaching school in the Ruby Valley and working on various ranches, Russell spent nearly 20 years as a newspaper editor and publisher of the Ely Record.

Elected to the State Assembly from White Pine County in 1934, he served that House for 3 Sessions and was twice elected to the State Senate. Elected Nevada's lone Congressman in 1946, he helped President Truman design the Marshall Plan to provide economic relief to post-war Europe.

Serving as Nevada's Governor from 1950-58, Russell is credited with establishing the Gaming Control Board, State purchasing and personnel systems, consolidated school districts and the State sales tax to benefit an education system in crisis. The people of Nevada, at his urging, approved the tax in a special election.

Following two gubernatorial terms and a 3-year U. S. State Department appointment as Director of AID Mission in Paraguay, Russell returned to the Silver State, once

again as a public servant for education. Russell became  
Director of Development of the University of Nevada,  
Reno until retirement.

More than his tremendous service record to Nevada and  
the nation, his gubernatorial successor Grant Sawyer  
recently offered, "Charles Russell will be remembered  
above all for his kindness, and for being a man of great  
conscience and honesty."

The Board of Regents extends its sympathy to the Gover-  
nor's wife of 50 years, Marjorie Guild Russell, their  
5 children and 13 grandchildren for their recent loss.

Mr. Foley moved approval of the resolution in remembrance  
of Governor Charles Hinton Russell. Dr. Derby seconded.  
Motion carried.

It was requested that individual copies be presented to  
Governor Russell's wife and children.

6. Approved the Appointment of Dean, College of Business  
Administration, and Tenure, UNR

Vice President Brown announced the appointment of Dr. Laurie Larwood as Dean of the College of Business Administration, effective July 1, 1990. Approved tenure for Dr. Larwood as Professor of Managerial Science and approved a salary supplement of up to \$10,000 per year to be paid to the University from the University of Nevada, Reno Foundation.

Mr. Klaich moved approval of the appointment of Dr. Laurie Larwood to the position of Dean of the College of Business Administration at UNR, with a salary supplement of up to \$10,000 per year from the UNR Foundation, effective July 1, 1990. Further moved approval of tenure for Dr. Larwood as Professor of Managerial Science. Mrs. Whitley seconded. Motion carried.

#### 7. Information Only - Amendment, Board of Regents Bylaws

The first reading of proposed amendment to the Board of Regents Bylaws, Article VI, Committees of the Board was read:

Section 6. In the event that there are not enough members of a standing or special committee available to make a quorum of the committee at the time of its meeting, and if there are a sufficient number of other members of the Board

of Regents available at the time, the Chairman of the Board of Regents, or the Vice Chairman in the absence of the Chairman, may make a sufficient number of ad hoc appointments from among the remaining members of the Board of Regents to make up a quorum of the committee. The ad hoc members shall serve only for the duration of the meeting for which they were appointed.

Mrs. Sparks recommended deleting the words "the remaining members of the Board" and substituting new language to make the provision consistent with the previous reference to "a sufficient number of other members of the Board available at the time". General Counsel Klasic said he would make the change for the next meeting.

#### 8. Interim Report on UNS Master Facilities Plan

Chancellor Dawson commended Vice Chancellor Fox on the outstanding performance he has accomplished on the UNS Master Facilities Plan.

Vice Chancellor Fox presented an interim report on the UNS Master Facilities Plan. He reported that Board of Regents has provided some funding to the Campuses for the develop-

ment of their own master facilities plans. The Campus plans have been delivered to the System Administration Office for independent consultants review. The consultants have met on each of the Campuses to discuss the plans.

In response to the rapid growth UNS has experienced and the changes in Campus needs, a new process for capital construction has been developed. The draft of the UNS Capital Construction Process is as follows:

- 1) Each institution will update its physical master plan every two years and provide the Chancellor and the Regents with a standardized statistical profile describing the physical dimensions of each Campus operated by the institution. This profile will include the area (gross square feet) by type and age of facility. The Presidents will identify Campus capital construction needs.
  
- 2) The Board of Regents will set priorities for the types of new space and remodeling of existing space (classroom, class laboratory, research laboratory, office and auxiliary space) needed by the University System.



3) The Chancellor will apply appropriate UNS space formulas

including growth in student FTE to the Campus data to

determine the need for space in the Regents' priority

areas. Based on the results of these calculations, the

Chancellor will propose a capital construction list to

the Council for Presidents.

4) The Presidents will review the list and participate in

the construction of the capital request in priority

order.

5) The Chancellor will forward a capital construction list

to the Board of Regents for approval.

The UNS space formulas, as mentioned in number 3 above, will

be presented at the December Board of Regents meeting. Mr.

Klaich questioned if other items would be included in these

formulas, and Vice Chancellor Fox responded that formulas

using FTE would be used for projected space for instruction,

research labs, class labs and offices. Mr. Klaich stated

that occasional growth should be integrated into the formu-

las. Vice Chancellor Fox assured Mr. Klaich that would be

included, and that a depreciation schedule will be included

in the formula.

A draft of the UNS Capital Construction Priorities is as follows:

Based on Campus needs identified in the draft Campus physical master plans, and review and recommendations by the Chancellor and the Presidents, priorities for 1991-93 capital construction within the System have been identified.

The following priorities are recommended to the Board of Regents for its consideration.

- 1) Construction of new instructional space and general faculty office space;
- 2) Major remodeling and expansion of existing structures;
- 3) Instructional and research laboratories space;
- 4) Auxiliary and support space.

Vice Chancellor Ron Sparks indicated that two lists will be presented to the Board. One list will contain the UNS Capital Construction Priorities, as mentioned above, and the second list will contain minor remodeling and repairs of old

buildings in the amount of \$5 million per year. This second list does not normally go before the State Public Works Board.

Vice Chancellor Fox indicated that space for part-time instructors is included in the formula and that it is based on needs. Each of the Presidents will have a chance to approve the list before it becomes final. President Maxson stated that the Chancellor's Office has included each of the Campuses throughout its discussions regarding the UNS capital construction process and priorities.

Mr. Klaich questioned if DRI was included in the formula and Vice Chancellor Fox indicated that DRI does not have FTE, but does need faculty office space and major remodeling and expansion. DRI has been requested to supply the number of actual faculty to be included in the final figures. President Taranik stated that DRI has been included in the process. DRI has experienced a 15% growth per year and employs approximately 300 persons. President Taranik thanked the Chancellor's Office and Board of Regents for its support of DRI.

Upon questioning, Vice Chancellor Sparks stated that main-

tenance of the buildings is accounted for in a separate budget.

Mr. Foley requested that the Campus requests be included in the final report to the Board of Regents.

Both the draft of the Facilities Master Plan and the Capital Construction list will be presented to the Board of Regents at their December meeting, with final action scheduled for January.

#### 9. Information Only - International Programs

Chancellor Dawson presented a review of Campus international programs, as contained in Ref. C, filed in the Regents Office. Chairman Gallagher stated that international programs would be placed on the Board of Regents Workshop agenda for further discussion.

The open meeting recessed at 10:35 A.M. and reconvened at 1:40 P.M. Thursday, October 19, 1989, with all Regents present except Regents Hammargren and Rogich.

#### 10. Personnel Session

Upon motion by Dr. Eardley, seconded by Mr. Klaich, the Board moved to a closed personnel session for the purpose of discussing the character, alleged misconduct, professional competence or physical or mental health of a person in accordance with NRS 241.030.

Upon motion by Mr. Klaich, seconded by Mrs. Whitley, the Board moved to open session. Motion carried.

11. Approved the Sale of General Obligation Bonds, UNS

Approved the sale of Nevada State General Obligation Bonds for the UNLV Health Science Center project and refunding on the Events Center bonds. Mr. Paul Howarth, Howarth and Associates, and Mr. John Swenseid, Bond Counsel, presented the results of the sale.

Mr. Howarth indicated that 9 bids were received with the lowest bid from Citicorp at 6.9% interest rate. This reflects a \$800,000 savings from the last sale of bonds. Mr. Howarth introduced Mr. Marty Johnson who has worked diligently on this project and commended him for his efforts.

Mr. Howarth recommended that the Board of Regents accept

the bid from Citicorp.

Dr. Eardley moved approval of the sale of Nevada State General Obligation Bonds for the UNLV Health Science Center project to Citicorp at 6.9% interest rate. Mr. Klaich seconded.

The savings incurred on the refunding will be used for operation and maintenance for the two event centers.

Mr. Foley voted no. Motion carried.

## 12. Annual Report of the University of Nevada Medical School

Vice President Brown introduced Dean Robert Daugherty who presented the annual report of the University of Nevada Medical School. He announced that the School of Medicine is celebrating its 20th anniversary this year. The School of Medicine has developed a 30-minute video documenting the accomplishments of the School. He reported the following figures:

1969

1989

Graduates	8,000	16,000
Freshmen	10,000	16,000
Women	10%	30%

13. Approved Process for the Possible Discharge of a Tenured Employee

A report was made to the Board of Regents in a closed personnel session concerning the discharge from employment of a tenured faculty member of a member institution of the University of Nevada System for unprofessional conduct and sexual harassment. Should a timely appeal of the employee's discharge be received by the Board of Regents, the appeal will be heard in closed personnel session, with final action to be taken by a vote of the Board in open session.

Chairman Gallagher stated that the Board has received a letter of appeal dated October 13, 1989 from Counsel for Dr. Thomas Harrington. The Board has also received and reviewed the Administrative Officer's Reply statement to notice of appeal dated October 17, 1989.

Before further processing the appeal, the Board desired briefing from both parties.

The briefs should include a discussion of the following:

- 1) Does the UNS Code provide due process and other constitutional and statutory protection?
  
- 2) Was the procedure of the UNS Code followed in this matter?
  
- 3) The merits of the appeal.

The brief of Dr. Harrington will be filed and served upon the Administrative Officer within 20 calendar days of their meeting. A reply brief of the University shall be filed within 15 calendar days of the filing of Dr. Harrington's brief. Briefs are limited to the record of the hearing.

A hearing on the appeal will be an agenda item for the next regularly scheduled meeting of the Board of Regents. Dr. Harrington and/or his counsel will be notified of the hearing before the Board. Dr. Harrington and/or his counsel will be urged to attend and will be given the opportunity to argue the appeal before the Board of Regents.



Mr. Klaich moved approval of the above stated procedure.

Dr. Eardley seconded. Motion carried.

14. Approved Advanced Facilities Planning, State Public Works

Board

Approved a list of UNS facilities projects which require advance planning. The State Public Works Board has announced limited funds are available for advance planning of future State facilities projects with requests submitted to their office by October 27, 1989.

After some discussion, the Chancellor was directed to revise the UNS Capital Improvement Planning Fund Requests and submit to the State Public Works Board. The list is filed in the Regents Office.

Dr. Eardley moved approval of the advanced facilities planning list to be submitted to the State Public Works Board.

Dr. Derby seconded. Motion carried.

15. Budget and Finance Committee

The Budget and Finance Committee meeting as a Committee of

the Whole under Chairmanship of Regent Eardley acted as follows:

- (1) Approved the expenditure of Capital Improvement Fee Funds in the amount up to \$62,000, over a 5-year period for an addition to the Diesel Shop at NNCC.

Mrs. Whitley moved approval to use up to \$62,000 of Capital Improvement Fee Funds, over a 5-year period, for an addition to the Diesel Shop at NNCC. Mr. Klaich seconded. Motion carried.

- (2) Approved the UNR self-supporting budget for School of Medicine/Psychiatry, as contained in Ref. B-2, filed in the Regents Office.

Mrs. Sparks moved approval of the UNR self-supporting budget for School of Medicine/Psychiatry. Mrs. Gallagher seconded. Motion carried.

- (3) Approved a University of Nevada Press repayment schedule of \$5,000 biannually beginning January 1, 1990, until the loan is fully paid.

In June, 1987 the Board approved a loan to the University of Nevada Press in the amount of \$50,000 to finance book manufacturing. The Press has repaid \$15,000 leaving a balance of \$35,000. The original terms of the loan provided for repayment in 2 years; however, the need for book manufacturing money still exists.

Mrs. Whitley moved approval of a University of Nevada Press repayment schedule of \$5,000 biannually beginning January 1, 1990, until the loan is fully repaid.

Mrs. Sparks seconded. Motion carried.

- (4) Approved authorization to use funding from the SIIS account up to \$60,000 to clean up and dispose of radiation materials which have accumulated throughout the University of Nevada System. The State previously had approved using the SIIS account for funding the entire radiation program. Clean up and disposal would be handled through the UNS Radiation Safety Board. This item also requires approval from the State Budget Office and Interim Finance.

Mrs. Whitley moved approval to use State funding from the SIIS account up to \$60,000 to clean up and dispose

of radiation materials which have accumulated through the University of Nevada System. Mr. Klaich seconded.

Motion carried.

After a lengthy discussion regarding the budget process, the Committee requested a 2-hour session in the near future to discuss such budget issues as parameters, priorities, formulas and enhancing the base budget.

The open meeting recessed at 2:30 P.M. and reconvened at 8:12 A.M. Friday, October 20, 1989, with all Regents present except Regents Hammargren and Rogich.

#### 16. Regents' Workshop

The Board met in a workshop session in the Fireside Room, Donald Moyer Student Union, UNLV. Two presentations were made regarding the UNS Research Council and the UNS Foundations.

A. DRI president Jim Taranik reported that the UNS Research Council was created October 1987 by the Chancellor and Presidents of DRI, UNLV and UNR. The UNS Research Council was created to provide a single point of contact

for dealing with State and Federal Government on Nuclear Waste Research, as requested by the State Nuclear Waste Projects Office. The mission of the UNS Research Council was to promote research throughout the System. Its goals were to facilitate acquisition of Systemwide research programs and to assist in coordination of existing Systemwide research programs. The objectives were to 1) act as a UNS focal point for Systemwide research initiatives; 2) promote research with UNS by identifying new sources of support for facilities, equipment and staff; and 3) facilitate entrepreneurial research acquisition by each Campus.

The members of the UNS Research Council consisted of the DRI President (now Vice President for Research), UNLV Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs and Provost, UNR Vice President for Academic Affairs, UNLV Dean of Graduate College and UNR Dean of Graduate School (now Associate Vice President for Research). The Council provided recommendations to the Chancellor and the Presidents of DRI, UNLV and UNR.

The State Nuclear Waste Projects Office chose to deal with the individual Campuses. The Department of Energy

then chose to make arrangements with individual Campuses. Therefore, the last formal meeting with the UNS Research Council was held on April 4, 1988. Since then, informal meetings have been held by the Vice Presidents of DRI, UNLV and UNR since Spring, 1989, but not under the aegis of the UNS Research Council.

President Taranik recommended that the Academic Affairs Council establish a subcommittee to include DRI Vice President Bill Bishop, so that information can flow to the Presidents, Chancellor and the Board of Regents.

President Taranik emphasized that the UNS Research Council was developed as an advisory council to the Presidents of DRI, UNLV and UNR. Mrs. Whitley stated that there are many research contracts to be signed, and during the time when she was Chairman of the Board she didn't feel it was necessary to inform the members of the Board on each research contract. Mr. Klaich stated that it was not the intent of the Board to monitor contracts, but that the Board should be notified when sensitive issues arise.

President Maxson informed the Board that UNLV accepts

research contracts by asking 1) is the contract legitimate research? 2) is UNLV capable of performing the work? and 3) does UNLV have the facilities; i. e., labs, space and equipment? If the answers are "yes", then the contracts are signed. He stated that the Department of Energy contract regarding Yucca Mountain did not seem, at the time, to be a controversial issue. It was the feeling that the State of Nevada would want to conduct its own research on the project and use its own scientists. The Presidents always try to inform the Board of Regents of any sensitive issues.

Chairman Gallagher stated that the Board of Regents should be made aware that some of this research will continue for a long period of time and will be politically sensitive. Mr. Klaich added that he hoped other research contracts would not be turned down and that this discussion would not "chill" the efforts of the scientists in the System. President Taranik stated that the reaffirmation by the Board at its September 7-8 meeting regarding Academic Freedom has alleviated some of the fear by the scientists within the System.

B. Mrs. Edna Brigham, UNS Endowment Director, was requested

to discuss the relationship of the institutional foundations to their institutions and to the Board of Regents. She introduced Sandi Cardinal, Internal Audit Director, and Janet Mac Donald, Deputy Treasurer. She praised the foundations and the dedicated people of Nevada who serve on the foundation boards.

Mrs. Brigham stated that the rich resources that the foundations have brought to the Colleges, the Universities and to DRI have contributed to the value of all higher education in the State of Nevada. These resources come to the System in the form of the valuable time, energy, support and dedicated service of some of Nevada's most talented and successful civic and State-wide leaders. There is no doubt that the foundations have attracted and made a part of the school's activities many friends who had not heretofore been active in supporting our institutions. These resources which have come to higher education through the foundations also represent substantial financial support for our institutions and for programs that could not be available to our students without this private effort.

Because of the values and potential values, as well as



the possibilities of misuse or abuse are so great, it is incumbent upon all parties to define areas of responsibility for decision making so that the State system of higher education continues to grow and develop and to enjoy the confidence of its donors and friends.

Mrs. Brigham outlined some of the reasons the Board of Regents must assume responsibility for overseeing the operations of the foundations. Maintaining the proper arm's length separation between the foundation and the institution it serves, while at the same time infusing the foundation with the proper amount of oversight by the Board of Regents, requires some delicate balancing and the drawing of some fine lines. She outlined the following reasons why Regents should be involved:

- 1) The Regents have been given by the constitution of the State of Nevada the responsibility for the governance of the State system of higher education.
- 2) The Regents serve as corporate members of each foundation.
- 3) The Regents represent the individual institutions

which are the beneficiaries of the foundations and which make the tax-exempt privilege of the foundations possible.

- 4) The Regents have a responsibility to all donors who give to any institution, either directly or through a foundation which bears the name of the institution.

The responsibilities mean the following:

- 1) The Regents have a responsibility to maintain the integrity and the unchallenged reputation of the individual institutions and the State System.
- 2) The Board must see to it that the administrative and accounting guidelines previously adopted by the Board of Regents are followed, and the Board must examine and review those guidelines regularly to see that they are current and adequate. Like Nevada many states are working to develop guidelines for workable relationships between the foundations and State systems. The State of Connecticut has already enacted legislation which exercises considerable

control over foundation funds so that State spending procedures are followed. There have been enough incidents of misbehavior in University foundations throughout the country to make us aware that if we don't police ourselves, someone will do it for us.

- 3) The other main responsibility of the Regents is to make sure that all grants and gifts from the foundation are made to enhance the stated mission of the institution and are formally accepted by the Board of Regents before the program is set in motion.

Mrs. Brigham requested Mrs. Cardinal to outline briefly the administrative and accounting guidelines as they are now written, and to outline the financial statements we require the foundations to submit which tell you about the actions of the foundations and what these statements do not tell you.

Mrs. Sandi Cardinal, Internal Audit Director, stated that the guidelines, as stated in the Board of Regents Handbook, give specific requirements on how foundations accept and distribute gifts, the procedures in administering the gifts, and the procedures of reporting

gifts to the Board of Regents.

The foundation financial statements are prepared by management in accordance with the accepted standards.

The auditor is responsible for performing an audit in accordance with professional standards. The financial statements are prepared using estimates and judgments for some items, such as vacation pay accruals. The materiality concept is used in auditing the foundations. This varies according to the size of the entity being audited.

Auditors are independent of management. They form an opinion based on selective testing. They rarely review all items because of the cost of testing more items.

The auditors use skill and judgment to select items.

There is a small percentage tested. The audit is directed toward the discovery of material misstatements in financial statements to provide reasonable assurance.

The auditors report on financial statements as a whole, not on individual items in financial statements. The audit is concerned with financial presentation, not financial quality of the entity, wisdom of management decisions, or risk of doing business with the entity.

The objectives of the auditors report is to describe what the auditor has done and to communicate what the auditor has found.

Mrs. Brigham requested Ms. Mac Donald to review the separation or the arm's length relationship which affects not only tax-exempt privileges but also any liability that one institution may impose upon another.

Janet Mac Donald Kendall, Deputy Treasurer, opened the discussion with a brief description of the 2 sections of the Internal Revenue Code (IRC), Sec. 501(c)(3) and 509 which directly affect the tax exempt status of the foundations and the personal liability of their Directors.

Ms. Mac Donald explained that she did not possess the experience or expertise to properly interpret and assure compliance with the IRC, but that she had obtained a recommendation for an outstanding individual who did have these qualifications. William Hutton of the San Francisco Law Firm of Howard and Hill is an expert in the area of foundation tax law. He has extensive experience teaching the subject. It was agreed, after a lengthy discussion, that Ms. Mac Donald contact Mr. Hutton to arrange 2 teaching, question and answer work-

shops, in Reno and Las Vegas for Regents, and foundation staff and Directors. The consequences of non-compliance with IRC are unacceptable to all concerned. The workshops are the Board of Regents continuation to help assure no such noncompliance occurs.

The second subject Ms. Mac Donald was asked to discuss was the importance of separating the foundation from the University or Community College it serves. The foundations were formed as separate legal organizations with distinct separate authority and responsibility.

This separateness has to be honored in substance and in spirit, otherwise the justification for the existence of the foundations can be challenged by the State. Sometimes the requirements to maintain separateness is inconvenient but naturally is part of the price for the privilege of autonomy and exemption from State rules.

Ms. Mac Donald recommended that the Board of Regents require each UNS institution to annually execute an agreement with their respective foundations. The agreement would stipulate the property and personnel of the State institution that the foundation will use.

The agreement would be accompanied by actual cash reimbursement for incremental expenses incurred by the

State due to the agreed upon usage of the State resources.

Ms. Mac Donald provided information on type of funds held and managed by foundations to further emphasize separateness of the foundation and State institution.

It was explained that the foundations have special responsibility in accepting restricted gifts because it is the Board of Regents and not the foundation that must accept or reject a donor's restrictions. In many jurisdictions all restricted funds are transferred immediately to the State Board of Regents. In Nevada rules are more lenient allowing for the management of endowment and other restricted funds by the foundations. Unrestricted current funds are fully controlled by the foundation in Nevada but in other jurisdictions the State Board of Regents reserve the authority to approve budgets by these unrestricted funds. Clearly the only legally acceptable expenditures by any foundation would be for operating expenditures and fund raising. Any other expenditures would not be in line with the requirement for the separations of the foundation from the State institution. Questions were answered and the discussion closed.

In summary, Mrs. Brigham stated that the entire System should be grateful to the foundations for their many efforts on behalf of higher education. It is also believed that the Board of Regents need to be assured in some way that the integrity of the System is maintained, that the foundations do not by their actions place their tax-exempt privilege in jeopardy, that the foundations do not incur any liabilities on behalf of the System, that programs are within the stated mission of the schools and, finally, that foundation funds are managed in a prudent and responsible manner.

17. Emergency Item: Resolution

Chairman Gallagher requested approval to consider an emergency item on the agenda to approve a resolution supporting the City of San Francisco, which recently experienced a devastating earthquake.

Mr. Klaich moved to accept an emergency item concerning a resolution supporting the City of San Francisco. Dr. Derby seconded. Motion carried.



18. Approved Resolution

Approved the following resolution supporting the City of San Francisco.

RESOLUTION #89-10

To the City by the Bay and its neighbors:

The University of Nevada System Board of Regents joins Governor Bob Miller in expressing its concern and condolences during this time of enormous tragedy. Our Silver State shares a close bond spanning more than a century. Our riches built your great city. As you rebuilt, please remember that your eastern neighbor applauds your spirit and your resilience. To the families of those who lost their lives, please accept our condolences during this time of bereavement. We urge all Nevadans to join in voicing our concern and support and lending our neighbors a helping hand in all ways possible.

Mr. Foley moved approval of the resolution supporting the City of San Francisco. Dr. Derby seconded. Motion carried.

## 19. Annual Report of UNS Endowments

Chancellor Dawson introduced Mr. Matt Lincoln, Cambridge and Associates, who presented the annual report on UNS endowment funds, as filed in the Regents Office. The long term objectives of the UNS endowment are to preserve the purchasing power of the existing endowment and to ensure that future generations of students will receive the same level of support from the existing endowment as do current students.

In order to accomplish these objectives, the UNS Endowment must reinvest a portion of investment return equal to inflation and limit annual spending to no more than the average return in excess of inflation.

The long term objectives of the endowment investment return are to be 5% above inflation and to expect to do much better in some years and worse in other years. The short term objectives are over 3 to 5 years with the Stock Portion to exceed S & P 500, the Bond Portion to exceed Shearson Lehman Composite, and the Total Fund to exceed performance of Index Portfolio (75% S & P 500 and 25% Shearson Lehman Composite).

The Investment Committee was conservative for the year in

its approach to asset allocation. The total equity manager allocations is 70% with the remaining 30% for the total fixed income manager allocations.

Mr. Lincoln stated that 8% of endowment funds are set aside for real estate funds. Real estate funds did not achieve its short term target, but are expected to show good progress in the next 5 to 8 years.

The total UNS Endowment did not meet its objectives for the 1988-89 fiscal year. This result was in part from the UNS decision to hold unusually high cash reserves, and partly from the relatively poor performance of the UNS management team.

Additionally, in July, 1987 UNS allocated approximately 8% of the endowment to 2 institutional-quality, diversified real estate funds. While UNS believes these funds can produce inflation-adjusted returns of 6% or more over the long-term, they underperformed the stock market return in 1988-89 and hence lowered the total endowment's results.

Over the 5 years since July 1, 1984 both the total fund and the equity and bond manager teams have exceeded UNS 3 to 5

year performance objectives, and the purchasing power of the endowment has grown considerably.

Mr. Klaich stated that he was pleased with the UNS Endowment report. The Investment Committee has been diligent, calling each of the managers to express its concerns. The Board of Regents has a fiduciary responsibility to students and to the institutions, and must keep apprised of the long-term objectives of the endowment. UNS needs to be vigilant to policing the money managers. Mr. Lincoln stated that the guidelines provide for review over a 3-year rolling period of time.

Chairman Gallagher and Vice Chairman Sparks commended Mr. Lincoln on the diligence and excellent advice. UNS has done very well in comparison with other institutions.

## 20. Report and Recommendations of the Academic Affairs Committee

A report and recommendations of the Academic Affairs Committee meeting, held October 19, 1989, were made by Regent Joseph Foley, Chairman.

(1) Vice Chancellor Fox updated the Board of Regents on the

issue of Student Voluntarism and Community Service Activities. Student Voluntarism and Community Service has been addressed at the University level at this time. The program would stress strong public service to the community. It was felt that commitment to the community already exists. He suggested that a formal assessment on internships be performed, and that the Campus representatives feel that the program should be strictly volunteer on the part of the students. UNS is exploring different ways to satisfy this credit and will report back to the Committee.

Mr. Joe Bunin, CSUN President, stated that the Senators have discussed this issue with their constituents.

There are already 50 volunteer programs on the UNLV Campus and some academic Departments already require voluntarism. He stated that there was a negative reaction to making this a mandatory program for the students. CSUN is exploring the establishment of a clearing house for volunteer activities at this time. CSUN will continue to encourage voluntarism throughout the Campus.

Mr. Glen Krutz, ASUN President, expressed his apprecia-

tion to Mr. Sig Rogich for addressing the voluntarism issue. The response he has received from the UNR Campus is that the students do not wish this to be mandatory and request that the program be unique to each of the Campuses. UNR is exploring different options.

Mr. Klaich certified that the Board of Regents has not approved this concept at this time, and requested additional information before it would be approved by the Board.

Vice President Brown stated that there is a great deal of interest within the College of Human and Community Sciences in providing substantive opportunities for students as well as course work in the area of voluntarism. The College's pilot program is proposed to be implemented in the 1990 Fall semester.

- (2) Approved the amendments to Title 4, Chapter 16, Section III, 1 and 2 of the Board of Regents Handbook which are based upon changes approved by the Board of Regents in September. These revisions reflect changes in ACT test scores and clarification of high school mathematics course requirements. See Ref. AA-2, filed in the Re-

gents Office.

- (3) Approved the College of Fine and Performing Arts at UNLV, as contained in Ref. AA-3, filed in the Regents Office.

This new College will combine the Departments of Art, Dance Arts, Music and Theatre Arts, currently located in the College of Arts and Letters. All program and degree requirements will remain unchanged.

President Maxson stated that an interim Dean will be appointed and UNLV will begin the search process for a new Dean of the College.

In addition, the Committee recommended approval that the College of Arts and Letters be renamed the College of Liberal Arts. With the formation of the College of Fine and Performing Arts, the term "College of Liberal Arts" is a more accurate designation.

This new organizational structure will enhance the quality of all programs and provide students with expanded opportunities in the performing and fine arts.

Separate accrediting organizations representing the arts strongly support this proposed change. Funding for a Dean, 2 classified persons and operating costs will be provided from existing institutional resources.

The Board of Regents approved the endorsement of a plan to establish the new College at its June, 1989 meeting, pending submission of a formal new program proposal.

President Maxson stated that the College will utilize the present space available, but anticipates that non-state funds will be forthcoming for additional space.

General Counsel Klasic stated that in approving this new College, the UNLV Bylaws would require a change.

Chairman Foley requested that those changes be placed on the Consent Agenda in December.

- (4) President Maxson announced that the Departments of Curriculum and Instruction and Secondary, Postsecondary and Vocational Education within the College of Education at UNLV has been merged. Goals of the merger are outlined in Ref. AA-4, filed in the Regents Office.

The reorganization and formation of a new Department of Instructional and Curricular Studies will involve no



new degrees, changes in curriculum or additional costs to the University.

General Counsel Klasic stated that in approving this merger, the UNLV Bylaws would require a change. Chairman Foley requested that these changes be placed on the Consent Agenda in December.

Mr. Foley moved approval of items 2, 3 and 4 of the report and recommendations of the Academic Affairs Committee. Mrs. Whitley seconded. Motion carried.

#### 21. Report on Student Retention and Attrition

Regent Foley requested an update of activities related to a Systemwide study of student retention and attrition, including a recent survey of Camuses concerning retention activities. Ref. F, filed in the Regents Office, addressed the following issues throughout the System: 1) placement testing, 2) academic advising and personal, career and academic counseling, 3) developmental or remedial services, and 4) data collection.

Chairman Gallagher requested that further study on student

retention and attrition be addressed by the Academic Affairs  
Committee.

## 22. Report and Recommendations of the Audit Committee

A report and recommendations of the Audit Committee meeting,  
held October 19, 1989, were made by Regent June Whitley,  
Chairman.

(1) Internal Audit Director Sandi Cardinal introduced Mr.

Wayne Stoker, Mr. John Surina and Mr. Glenn Storer of  
Deloitte, Haskins & Sells, who presented the audit of  
the UNS Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular  
A-110, July 1, 1986 through June 30, 1988. The audit  
report is filed in the Regents Office.

Mr. Stoker stated that there were no material weak-  
nesses found during their report on internal control  
structure and compliance report. The institutions  
have responded to the auditors' findings.

It was brought to the Committee's attention that CCCC  
had not reported its Federal Financial Assistance  
funding for 1987 and 1988. The Committee requested

that a report be presented with those figures.

(2) Internal Audit Director Sandi Cardinal presented the audit of the UNLV Scholarships, July 1, 1987 through December 31, 1988. The audit report is filed in the Regents Office.

(3) Internal Audit Director Sandi Cardinal presented the follow-up report on the UNR Scholarship Office staff. The follow-up report is filed in the Regents Office.

(4) Internal Audit Director Sandi Cardinal presented the follow-up report on the UNR Parking Services audit. The follow-up report is filed in the Regents Office.

(5) Internal Audit Director Sandi Cardinal presented the follow-up report on the University of Nevada School of Medicine, Savitt Medical Library audit. The follow-up report is filed in the Regents Office.

(6) Internal Audit Director Sandi Cardinal presented the follow-up report on the UNLV Residence Hall audit. The follow-up report is filed in the Regents Office.

The Committee requested that Vice President Buster Neel report when all recommendations have been implemented.

(7) Internal Audit Director Sandi Cardinal presented the follow-up report on the DRI Stead and Dandini Libraries audit. The follow-up report is filed in the Regents Office.

(8) Internal Audit Director Sandi Cardinal presented the follow-up report on the UNR Police Department audit. The follow-up report is filed in the Regents Office.

(9) Internal Audit Director Sandi Cardinal presented the follow-up report on the UNR Residential Life/Housing audit. The follow-up report is filed in the Regents Office.

(10) Internal Audit Director Sandi Cardinal presented the follow-up report on the TMCC Learning Resources Center audit. The follow-up report is filed in the Regents Office.

(11) Internal Audit Director Sandi Cardinal presented the

follow-up report on the UNLV Upward Bound Program, Special Services Program audit. The follow-up report is filed in the Regents Office.

(12) Internal Audit Director Sandi Cardinal presented the follow-up report on the WNCC Library audit. The follow-up report is filed in the Regents Office.

The Committee requested a report on all Departments at all institutions be compiled stating estimated completion dates for Departmental procedures manuals.

Mr. Klaich moved approval of the report and recommendations of the Audit Committee. Dr. Derby seconded. Motion carried.

### 23. Report and Recommendations of the Investment Committee

A report and recommendations of the Investment Committee meeting, held October 19, 1989, were made by Regent Daniel J. Klaich, Chairman.

(1) Approved the establishment of an endowment with the Bessie C. Gilmer Trust to be administered by the Chan-

cellor's Office. The endowment is to be used for scholarships for women who have devoted their lives to their family and plan to re-enter the work force, or who are single parents attempting to increase their earning capacity through education. The financial needs of the woman, and not her previous scholastic performance, serve as the primary criteria for selection. Recipients are eligible to attend any UNS institution in the State of Nevada.

Bessie C. Gilmer left 1/3 of the remainder of the trust she established at her death:

To the University of Nevada to be used in such manner as shall be determined by its governing board for the purpose of furthering the objects and welfare of said University.

Final distribution from the trust has been received in the amount of \$73,703.33.

- (2) Approved, with recommendation by Cambridge and Associates, to delegate proxy voting to UNS Investment Managers. Specific instructions will be provided to man-

agers to independently analyze proxies and vote in the manner which will maximize return to stockholders so long as that vote is not inconsistent with University of Nevada System statement of social responsibilities.

The managers will maintain records of proxy voting for the UNS, complying with DOL and SEC requirements.

Mr. Klaich moved approval of the report and recommendations of the Investment Committee. Mrs. Whitley seconded. Motion carried.

24. Report and Recommendations of the Ad Hoc Committee on  
Community College Faculty Relations

A report and recommendations of the ad hoc Committee on Community College Faculty Relations meeting, held October 19, 1989, were made by Regent Jill Derby, Chairman.

(1) The Board of Regents will receive the Committee's final report at its December 7-8, 1989 meeting. The Committee will meet on Thursday, November 9 and Friday, December 1 to complete its task prior to the December 7-8 meeting.

(2) Chairman Derby gave an overview of the activities of the Committee, as filed in the Regents Office. In her report she stated that self improvement in individuals comes from honest self-reflection. Organizations that have the courage to look inward can grow and better themselves. The faculty carry the burden of delivering higher education to students. She quoted from the "Building Communities Report" of the Commission on the Future of Community Colleges: "We believe that the renewal of Community College faculty is absolutely crucial. If renewal is not forthcoming, if faculty support is not available, the Community College will have depleted its most essential resource." The Board of Regents want the faculty to be treated with respect and dignity which they deserve in this System. The Board of Regents set the tone when they listen to the concerns and consult with the faculty in its deliberations. It is the intent of the Board to find out what isn't working as well as it could and help make it better. The Board wants to encourage and support those who are closest to the problems to help faculty make improvements.



Dr. Derby stated that she had heard several times that faculty exhibited some paranoia during the process -- fear of reprisal for open disclosure during the hearings, skepticism that the Committee would be thoroughgoing, balanced and fair in its efforts. On the other side, she perceived apprehension on the part of Administrators over the criticism that could follow an open disclosure of problem areas raised by faculty. Many of us in our different capacities have contributed to the tension. We need to put behind us our mistrust and our differences and work together in a spirit of greater cooperation and conciliation.

The Committee was established to identify and address Community College faculty concerns. The context of the motion was made because of interest by some faculty in the establishment of a separate bargaining unit for the Community Colleges. Part of the Board's rationale was to alleviate the pressure that resulted from interest in collective bargaining. The centrality of faculty concerns was clear cut. As the meetings progressed, however, there was a tendency to assume that faculty and administrative concerns of Community College personnel are one in the same, because in many cases they

are. The result was a tendency to assume a more general Community College focus rather than a specific faculty one. The broader, more inclusive focus, is of course a worthy and much needed one and a perspective that both Community College faculty and Administrators share. The hearings report and survey support that finding. The focus will not be lost as the Committee directs its attentions to recommendations which the Committee will be developing to place before the full Board in December. Everyone connected with the Community Colleges will benefit from the focus this Committee is directing to their issues. The faculty need to be assured that their separate issues where they have been identified will not be lost in the Committee's tendency to focus on the broader level.

Dr. Derby stated that the difficult task of how to address some of the major issues which the Committee has identified lies ahead. The Committee needs to forge a consensus and offer some substantive, significant recommendations to the full Board in December.

(3) Hearings for Community College faculty and Administrators were held on each Campus during the week of Sep-

tember 11-14, 1989. The process for the hearings and the results were reviewed. Chairman Derby stated that she has been receiving telephone calls and letters concerning the hearings. A synopsis of the letters was distributed and is filed in the Regents Office.

In discussing the results of the hearings, Chairman Derby stated that the faculty were apprehensive in coming forth before the Committee because there were too many Administrators present at the hearings. They felt that reprisals would have been made if they were to speak openly. Mr. Klaich stated for the record that the thought of an environment in higher education where faculty were afraid to speak for fear of reprisal was repulsive to him.

President Meacham stated that the attendance of Administrators at the hearings was discussed at a Regents meeting and it was indicated that the hearings were open meetings and that the administrators should be included in these hearings. Chairman Derby stated that she had hoped that the hearings would be attended primarily by faculty, but because of the open meeting law Administrators were able to attend. In order for sen-

sitive concerns to surface the survey was developed.

It was hoped that the survey would alleviate some of the tension.

In discussing the actual hearings, Mr. Klaich stated that the time for the hearing at WNCC went quickly and was a very interesting and exciting hearing. Mrs. Sparks agreed with Mr. Klaich's statement and added that the faculty at TMCC, for the most part, were happy with their jobs, but wanted to make the System better. Mr. Paul Nelson, WNCC representative, stated that the faculty was very appreciative to have the Board of Regents on the Campus to listen to their concerns. Dr. Calabro stated that at times during the hearings it was hard to remember that the subcommittee was there to listen and not to react.

The Community College hearings report was distributed and is filed in the Regents Office.

- (4) Upon direction of the Committee, a survey of Community College faculty and Administrators was conducted at the beginning of the Fall semester. The results of the survey were reviewed. Chairman Derby distributed a

chart that contained major findings from the survey and hearings. The chart is filed in the Regents Office.

Mr. Klaich felt that it was appropriate for the Committee to report back to the Board of Regents and focus on a few major issues regarding policy. He felt that many of the concerns brought out by the hearings and survey cannot be controlled by the Board of Regents. Chairman Derby suggested that many of the concerns could be directed to such areas as budgeting, articulation and communication skills and that a follow-up report be made to the Board of Regents on these issues. Mr. Bob Rose, Nevada Faculty Alliance representative, noted that there were 3 broad topics which surfaced throughout the Committee's endeavors: 1) Faculty-Administration Relations; 2) Community College and Board of Regents Equal Partnership; 3) Compensatory Budget/Employment Conditions.

Mr. Mike Hardie, WNCC Faculty Senate Chairman, requested a breakdown by Campus of the responses to aid them in addressing their own concerns.

Mrs. Gallagher stated that the compensation issues will

be addressed in future meetings of the System Compensation Committee. She further stated that those Community College issues that directly involve the Board of Regents will be addressed at the upcoming Board of Regents Workshop.

Mrs. Sparks commended Chairman Derby for the tremendous work and time devoted to this Committee.

Dr. Derby moved approval of the report and recommendations of the ad hoc Committee on Community College Faculty Relations. Mr. Klaich seconded. Motion carried.

## 25. Report and Recommendation of the Ad Hoc Committee on Regent Awards

A report and recommendation of the ad hoc Committee on Regent Awards meeting, held October 19, 1989, were made by Regent James Eardley, Chairman.

- (1) The Committee reviewed procedures and guidelines for granting the Distinguished Nevadan Award and approved reinstating the language contained in the March 1, 1958 Board of Regents minutes as contained in Ref.

R-1, filed in the Regents Office.

Chairman Eardley stated that the reason to establish this Committee is to clarify the process in granting Regent Awards.

Mr. Klaich stated that the Distinguished Nevadan Award is a very significant award bestowed by the Board of Regents to recognize outstanding service by the public sector. Some feel that over the years the importance of the award may have been lost and needs to be re-established.

President Maxson agreed that the Distinguished Nevadan Award is bestowed by the Board of Regents. The Honorary Doctorate and Honorary Associate degrees are awarded by the individual Campuses in recognition of academic contributions to higher education and, as such, he felt it should be earned, not an award that is automatically given. It was emphasized that the Distinguished Nevadan award is not a political award and the Board of Regents' guidelines must be strengthened to avoid granting such endorsements for political reasons.

Mr. Foley stated he felt that the discussion of nominees for these awards should remain in closed personnel session of the Board of Regents, and that a special screening committee is not necessary. He added that the criteria should be sent to all nominators and the nominations should then be justified by the nominators.

Mrs. Gallagher requested that the Committee develop a new process and new criteria form.

In discussing additional awards, the Committee felt that a "Regent" award and a "Chancellor's" award are one and the same.

With regard to President Crowley's suggestion of granting an honorary award to excellent K-12 teachers, Mr. Foley felt that it was not in the best interest of the Board of Regents, adding that the State Board of Education bestows its own awards upon those outstanding teachers.

Dr. Eardley moved approval of the report and recommendation of the ad hoc Committee on Regent Awards. Mrs. Whitley seconded. Motion carried.



26. Approved Sale of Property, NNCC

Approved the sale of a 35-acre parcel of land, appraised at \$50,500. The parcel was sold at a public sale on October 4, 1989, with funds realized from the sale to be retained for NNCC purposes.

Mrs. Whitley moved approval of the sale of a 35-acre parcel of land with funds realized from the sale to be retained for NNCC purposes. Dr. Eardley seconded. Motion carried.

27. Discussion on the Sale of Property, UNR

Vice President Brown had requested approval of the sale of property received by the School of Medicine as part of the Estate of Blanche Scranton. The property was appraised 6 months ago by the Estate at a value of \$105,000. Vice President Brown requested the Board to accept an offer of \$75,000 in cash. After a lengthy discussion, the Board withdrew the item and directed Vice President Ashok Dhingra to have the property appraised at its current value.

Information: General Counsel Klasic informed the Board that his

Assistant General Counsel Bob Ulrich contested a will which was overturned reinstating the University of Nevada, Reno as the major beneficiary of the estate, and wished to publicly acknowledge his hard work in achieving this ruling.

#### 28. Approval of Sale of Property, UNR

Approved sale of UNR's 1.5 acre parcel of land in Sparks, Nevada. In 1981 UNR received ownership to a 1.5 acre parcel of land in Sparks from Dr. and Mrs. Frank Stokes. In 1986 the Nevada Department of Highways purchased portions of the property for widening of the street and intersection. During a title search by NDOT, it was discovered that the University also owned a small 3000 square foot parcel across the street. This parcel is located at the end of a dead-end and abandoned road, and is landlocked by permanently installed traffic barriers.

Sierra Pacific Power Company has offered to purchase the property for \$3000 for an easement for their water line.

The Boy Scouts of America, who are to receive 1/3 of the proceeds, agree with the sale.

General Counsel Klasic recommended approval subject to re-  
view of sale documents.

Dr. EArldley moved approval of the sale of property for UNR.

Mrs. Sparks seconded. Motion carried.

The meeting adjourned at 11:20 A.M.

Mary Lou Moser

Secretary of the Board

10-19-1989